



ADDENDUM TO RFP DOCUMENTS
April 22, 2013

RFP No. 18-12-13 Design Build for a Community Park (aka Rucks Park; former Rucks Wastewater Treatment Plant Site with a Nursery Area)

OPENING DATE: May 14, 2013 @ 3:00 PM

ADDENDUM No. 4

To All Proposers:

It is the Proposer's responsibility to assure receipt of all addenda. The Proposer should verify with the designated Purchasing Agent prior to submitting a bid that all addenda have been received. Proposers are required to acknowledge the number of addenda received as part of their bid by checking the box indicated on the bid form.

This addendum is issued to modify the previously issued RFP documents and/or given for informational purposes, and is hereby made a part of the bid documents.

Clarification on Addendum No. 2 / Question No. 3

3. In the RFP document several scope items are listed. Can the City provide additional information regarding areas (square footage), quantity, capacity and intended use of these items? For example: How many Basketball Courts are needed? Are these to be recreational only or to meet a standard? How many Multipurpose Fields? Minimum area required for storage? How many bleachers, pavilions? Area required for Sprayground?

- A. The City was intentionally vague on this information because we would like to see what the design professionals bring forward. We would like to see the sprayground as one of the parks primary features. If you would like additional information on amenities, you can review the 2007 Parks and Recreation Master Plan on the City's website at: www.northmiamifl.gov/Departments/Parks_rec/. Please keep in mind that this document was prepared 6 years ago.

The following questions were submitted and become a part of this RFP:

1. The RFP documents require the Design Builder to provide a RAP (Remediation Action Plan) with the submittal documents proposal. As per the RER letter included with Addendum #2 issued on April 16, 2013, the City is yet to address the comments outlined in the letter to further delineate the extent of the off-site ground water contaminants. Please provide clarification regarding the specifics that need to be addressed in the RAP to be included with the RFP documents.

- A. The RAP should document what remediation or engineering controls will be utilized to address contaminated soils and groundwater at the Site so that the property can be safely used and maintained.

2. What is the purpose of the RAP to be submitted with the proposal?

- A. The purpose if the RAP submittal is to gain an understanding and evaluate how each Design Builder plans to address the soil and groundwater contamination at the Site. This RAP and the Site Design will be transmitted to RER for review and comment as part of the No Further Action with Conditions site closure option.

3. RER letter included with Addendum #2 issued on April 16, 2013, states that the City needs to respond to the comments within 60 days of receipt of the letter issued to the City on April 12th, 2013. Will the City and the City's Environmental Engineer Eco Advisor's continue with the process until the SAR comments are addressed and NFAC is accepted by RER?

- A. Yes, the City will continue with Eco Advisors with the environmental consulting.

4. Does the City know the proposed conditions of the no further action that is being requested?

- A. The City has documented that No Further Action with Conditions will be requested for the Site. There are currently no specific proposed conditions. The conditions will depend on the development plan for the Site. The selected development plan will have to be reviewed by RER.

5. Can consultants meet with RER prior to submittal of the proposals regarding the RAP and the engineering and institutional controls that are envisioned / discussed?

- A. No bidders can meet or contact RER at this time regarding this RFP.

6. It was noted that the recent correspondence from RER (April 2013) regarding the SARA requested additional investigation of the contaminant plume. What is the anticipated time frame for approval of the Site Assessment Report Addendum, how will that affect the schedule?

- A. The timeframe and response to the RER (April 2013 letter) will be addressed by our Environmental Consultant ECO Advisors.

7. Have there been any conversations with RER on the "off-site" contamination? How will the city be required to address?

- A. No conversations to date with RER regarding the off-site contamination.

8. Can a sub-consultant be on multiple teams for this submittal?

- A. Yes, as long as they meet the requirements of the RFP.

*****All other items remain the same*****